CERN-TH.6906/93
DFUPG 80/93

arXiv:hep-th/9306073v1 16 Jun 1993

Topological Excitations in Compact Maxwell-Chern-Simons Theory

M. C. Diamantini and P. Sodano

Dipartimento di Fisica e Sezione I.N.F.N., Universitá di Perugia
06100 Perugia, Italy

C. A. Trugenberger

Theory Division, CERN
1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

We construct a lattice model of compact (2+1)-dimensional Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory, starting from its formulation in terms of gauge invariant quantities proposed by Deser and Jackiw. We thereby identify the topological excitations and their interactions. These consist of monopole-antimonopole pairs bounded by strings carrying both magnetic flux and electric charge. The electric charge renders the Dirac strings observable and endows them with a finite energy per unit length, which results in a linearly confining string tension. Additionally, the strings interact via an imaginary, topological term measuring the (self-) linking number of closed strings.

CERN-TH.6906/93, DFUPG 80/93, June 1993

Topological excitations play a fundamental role in statistical mechanics and field theory. They often drive phase transitions, as is the case in the two-dimensional XY model [1] and they can lead to drastical modifications of the perturbative infrared behaviour of a theory [2]. The origin of these non-perturbative phenomena lies in the compactness of a symmetry underlying a given model. The topology of the gauge group is thus of paramount importance in Abelian gauge theories, where the non-compact group R and the compact group $U(1)$ lead to the same perturbation series. In three Euclidean dimensions, for example, the compactness of $U(1)$ leads to the existence of instanton solutions of the Maxwell equations, which coincide with the familiar Dirac magnetic monopoles [3] of three-dimensional Minkowski space. As was shown in [2], in the weak coupling limit these lead to the *confinement* of electric charges, by effectively transforming the Coulomb potential from logarithmic to linear.

Three-dimensional space-times are characterized by the possibility of adding a gauge invariant, non-conventional *Chern-Simons* term to the gauge field action [4]. The resulting theory, with Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}_{MCS} = \frac{-1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\kappa}{2}\, \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\alpha \tag{1}$$

(in Minkowski space-time) describes *massive photons* with mass $m = \kappa e^2$ (note that in $2+1$ dimensions e^2 has the dimension of mass). The Coulomb interaction between charges minimally coupled to (1) is correspondingly exponentially screened. Therefore, the weak coupling non-perturbative behaviour of the compact Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory depends crucially on the interplay between magnetic monopoles and the Chern-Simons term, since they clearly work in opposite directions: the former promotes confinement of electric charges while the latter screens the Coulomb interaction. The outcome of this competition can have profound physical consequences, given that Maxwell-Chern-Simons theories appear as effective theories for the fractional quantum Hall effect and for two-dimensional spin models possibly relevant to high-T_c superconductivity [5].

There are two simple ways to analyze compact Abelian gauge theories. As was pointed out in [2], one automatically obtains the compact $U(1)$ group by spontaneous breakdown of a compact non-Abelian group. Alternatively, one can formulate the $U(1)$ model on a lattice, with the gauge fields being *phases* of link variables. Monopoles in compact Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory were studied in [6] [7] by using the first of the above described approaches. It was found that finite action single-monopole solutions do not exist. In [6]

it was however shown that there exists a *complex* solution corresponding to a monopole-antimonopole pair: this has real, finite action proportional to the distance between the monopole and the antimonopole. In [7] the absence of isolated monopoles was established by a perturbative treatment of the Chern-Simons term. All this indicates that monopoles are *linearly confined* by a string of magnetic flux due to the Chern-Simons term.

It is therefore important to confirm and clarify this mechanism by analyzing compact Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory on the lattice. Moreover, from this approach one should also obtain the interaction between the strings. While *non-compact* versions of lattice Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory have been previously studied [8], no *compact* formulation of the same lattice models is yet available. The difficulty lies in the explicit appearance of the gauge potential A_μ in the Chern-Simons term. This makes it difficult to formulate a periodic and gauge invariant lattice action with the correct continuum limit. Here we avoid this problem by considering an equivalent formulation of Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory in terms of *gauge invariant quantities*.

In [9] it was shown that the self-dual model

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2e^2} f_\mu f^\mu - \frac{1}{2me^2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha} f_\mu \partial_\nu f_\alpha \ , \tag{2}$$

considered first in ref. [10], is *equivalent* (by a Legendre transformation) to the Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory (1). Indeed, the field equations obtained by varying (2) with respect to f_μ are identical to the Maxwell-Chern-Simons field equations if f_μ is interpreted as the dual of the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$: $f^\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}/2$. This is possible since the equations of motion imply the "Bianchi identity" $\partial_\mu f^\mu = 0$. Although this is valid only on shell, this is sufficient to guarantee the equivalence of the *free* theories (1) and (2), as shown in [9]. We are thus led to consider the continuum model defined by the Euclidean partition function

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D} f_\mu \ \mathrm{e}^{-S_E(f_\mu)} \ , \\ S_E(f_\mu) &= \int d^3x \ \frac{1}{2e^2} \left(f_\mu f_\mu + \frac{i}{m} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} f_\mu \partial_\nu f_\alpha \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

With this formulation at hand it is easy to construct a compact lattice model of Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory. To this end we consider a cubic lattice with lattice spacing a and lattice sites denoted by the vectors $\mathbf{z}$. The forward and backward lattice derivatives are defined as

$$d_\mu g(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \frac{g(\mathbf{z} + \hat{\mu} a) - g(\mathbf{z})}{a} \ , \qquad \hat{d}_\mu g(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \frac{g(\mathbf{z}) - g(\mathbf{z} - \hat{\mu} a)}{a} \ , \tag{4}$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ denotes a unit vector in the μ direction. Correspondingly, summation by parts on the lattice interchanges the two derivatives.

As usual [11] we associate with each link $(\mathbf{z}, \mu)$ of the lattice a real variable denoted by $f_\mu(\mathbf{z})$, which we identify (on shell) as the dual field strength of a gauge theory. If this underlying gauge theory is compact, the link variables $f_\mu(\mathbf{z})$ have to be considered as *angular variables* defined in the interval $[-\pi/a^2, \pi/a^2]$, i.e. one has to identify

$$f_\mu(\mathbf{z}) \equiv f_\mu(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{2\pi n_\mu(\mathbf{z})}{a^2} \ , \qquad n_\mu(\mathbf{z}) \in Z \ . \tag{5}$$

Using the topological mass m one can construct a second link variable with dimension [mass^2], $\frac{1}{m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu f_\alpha$, the periodicity of which depends on the dimensionless parameter am. In order to construct a model with a consistent continuum limit we choose a lattice spacing satisfying $1/ma = 2n$, with n an integer. As a consequence we have

$$\frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu f_\alpha(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu f_\alpha(\mathbf{z}) + \frac{2\pi h_\mu(\mathbf{z})}{a^2} \ , \tag{6}$$

where $h_\mu(\mathbf{z})$ are integer multiples of n.

In order to formulate our model we first note that the action in (3) can be written as a sum of squares via the decomposition

$$S_E(f_\mu) = \int d^3x \ \frac{1}{2e^2} f_\mu^2 + \frac{i}{4e^2}\left(f_\mu + \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\partial_\nu f_\alpha\right)^2 - \frac{i}{4e^2}\left(f_\mu - \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\partial_\nu f_\alpha\right)^2 \ . \tag{7}$$

We can now obtain a Villain-type model [12] by summing over three sets of integer link variables, whose purpose is to take into account the periodicity of the link variables appearing in the three squares. Since we are integrating over a unique fundamental variable f_μ, however, it turns out that two sets of integers are sufficient to enforce periodicity. We therefore posit the following compact, lattice regularized version of the Euclidean model (3):

$$\begin{aligned} Z_L = \sum_{\substack{\{l_\mu\} \\ \{k_\mu\}}} \int_{-\frac{\pi}{a^2}}^{\frac{\pi}{a^2}} \mathcal{D}f_\mu \, \exp \sum_{\mathbf{z},\mu} \Bigg\{ & -\frac{a^3}{2e^2}\left(f_\mu + \frac{2\pi}{a^2} l_\mu\right)^2 + \\ & - \frac{ia^3}{4e^2}\left(f_\mu + \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu f_\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{a^2}(2l_\mu - k_\mu)\right)^2 + \\ & + \frac{ia^3}{4e^2}\left(f_\mu - \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu f_\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{a^2} k_\mu\right)^2 \Bigg\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the sum runs over all lattice sites $\mathbf{z}$ and all directions μ, l_μ and k_μ are integer link variables and we have introduced the notation $\mathcal{D}f_\mu = \prod_{\mathbf{z},\mu} df_\mu(\mathbf{z})$. This partition function is clearly invariant under the transformations (5), since these can be absorbed by a redefinition $l'_\mu \equiv l_\mu + n_\mu$ and $k'_\mu \equiv k_\mu + n_\mu - \frac{1}{2am}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} a d_\nu n_\alpha$. The formal continuum limit of the above lattice model is obtained by letting $a \to 0$ and $\sum_{\mathbf{z}} \to (1/a^3)\int d^3\mathbf{z}$. As we now show, in this limit we recover the continuum model (3) times a partition function describing the topological excitations due to the compactness of the underlying gauge symmetry.

Indeed, we now rewrite (8) in a fashion wich exposes explicitly these *topological excitations* and their interactions. To this end we decompose k_μ as

$$k_\mu \equiv l_\mu - \frac{1}{2ma}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} a d_\nu l_\alpha + j_\mu \ , \tag{9}$$

with j_μ integers. Correspondingly, the sum over all configurations $\{k_\mu\}$ in (8) can be replaced by a sum over all configurations $\{j_\mu\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_L = \sum_{\substack{\{l_\mu\} \\ \{j_\mu\}}} \int_{\frac{-\pi}{a^2}}^{\frac{\pi}{a^2}} \mathcal{D}f_\mu \, \exp \sum_{\mathbf{z},\mu} \Bigg\{ & -\frac{a^3}{2e^2} g_\mu^2 - \frac{ia^3}{4e^2}\left(g_\mu + \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu g_\alpha - \frac{2\pi}{a^2} j_\mu \right)^2 + \\ & + \frac{ia^3}{4e^2}\left(g_\mu - \frac{1}{2m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu g_\alpha + \frac{2\pi}{a^2} j_\mu \right)^2 \Bigg\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $g_\mu \equiv f_\mu + (2\pi/a^2) l_\mu$. By changing variables from f_μ to g_μ in the integration and performing the sum over all configurations $\{l_\mu\}$ we obtain

$$Z_L = \sum_{\{j_\mu\}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}f_\mu \, \exp \sum_{\mathbf{z},\mu} \left\{ \frac{-a^3}{2e^2} f_\mu \left(\delta_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{i}{m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu \right) f_\alpha + \frac{i 2\pi a}{e^2} f_\mu j_\mu \right\} \ . \tag{11}$$

In a last step we perform the Gaussian integration over f_μ. To this end we note that, by a summation by parts, the operator appearing in the quadratic term in f_μ can be rewritten as

$$\delta_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{i}{m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu = \delta_{\mu\alpha} + \frac{i}{m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} D_\nu \ , \quad D_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(d_\mu + \hat{d}_\mu \right) \ . \tag{12}$$

Its inverse is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}') &= \left(m^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - D_\mu D_\nu - im\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu} D_\alpha \right) \, G(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}') \ , \\ \left(-D_\mu D_\mu + m^2 \right) G(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}') &= \delta_{\mathbf{z},\mathbf{z}'} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Note that the operator $D_\mu D_\mu$ appearing in this formula represents a lattice regularized version of the Laplacian. Using (13) we obtain our final result:

$$Z_L = Z_0 \sum_{\{j_\mu\}} \exp \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z},\mu \\ \mathbf{z}',\nu}} -\frac{4\pi^2}{2ae^2}\ j_\mu(\mathbf{z}) G_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{z}') j_\nu(\mathbf{z}')\ , \tag{14}$$

where

$$Z_0 = \int \mathcal{D}f_\mu \exp \sum_{\mathbf{z},\mu} \left\{ -\frac{a^3}{2e^2} f_\mu f_\mu - \frac{ia^3}{2me^2} f_\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} d_\nu f_\alpha \right\}\ , \tag{15}$$

is the Gaussian partition function for a free massive photon on the lattice. As anticipated, this reduces to the continuum partition function (3) in the formal continuum limit. The remaining factor in (14) describes the topological excitations, which we now discuss.

First, let us note that these topological excitations are strings: these can be closed (rings), in which case $a\hat{d}_\mu j_\mu = 0$, or open, in which case we identify the integers

$$a\hat{d}_\mu j_\mu = q \tag{16}$$

with the *magnetic monopoles*. In order to justify this interpretation let us consider the continuum limit of the topological excitations. The continuum field equations, derived from the continuum action (3), are given by

$$f_\mu + \frac{i}{m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\partial_\nu f_\alpha = 0\ . \tag{17}$$

When f_μ is interpreted as the dual field strength of a *compact* gauge theory, however, it can contain *string singularities* [2] j_μ: $f_\mu = f_\mu^{\rm reg} - 2\pi j_\mu$, where j_μ are of the type $j_\mu = n\ (\theta(x_0 - L/2) - \theta(x_0 + L/2))\delta(x_1)\delta(x_2)$, with n an integer. These string singularities can be brought to the right-hand side of (17), where they act as sources for the regular part of f_μ,

$$f_\mu^{\rm reg} + \frac{i}{m}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\partial_\nu f_\alpha^{\rm reg} = 2\pi j_\mu\ . \tag{18}$$

Inserting (18) and its inverse

$$f_\mu^{\rm reg} = 2\pi \frac{m^2\delta_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu - im\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu}\partial_\alpha}{-\partial_\mu\partial_\mu + m^2}\ j_\nu\ , \tag{19}$$

in the action $S_E(f_\mu)$ in (3) we obtain

$$S_{\rm Top} = \int d^3x\ \frac{4\pi^2}{2e^2}\ j_\mu \frac{m^2\delta_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu\partial_\nu - im\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\nu}\partial_\alpha}{-\partial_\mu\partial_\mu + m^2} j_\nu\ , \tag{20}$$

which is exactly the continuum limit of the topological action in (14).

Eq. (19) represents the *Maxwell-Chern-Simons monopole solution*. The fact that $\partial_\mu f_\mu^{\rm reg} = 2\pi\partial_\mu j_\mu = 2\pi$ integer $\delta^3(x - x_{mon})$ justifies our identification (16) in the lattice model. As expected, the magnetic field is exponentially screened with a characteristic length $(1/m)$ determined by the topological Chern-Simons mass. In the limit $m \to 0$, (19) reduces to the familiar monopole solution of compact, (2+1)-dimensional QED [2]. Correspondingly (20) reduces to the action for a Coulomb gas of monopoles [2]. Our monopole solution (19) is *different* from previously considered Abelian solutions [13] [6] which describe long range magnetic fields. In analogy to Pisarski's non-Abelian solution [6], our Abelian solution is complex; however the corresponding action contains a positive definite real part.

Let us now return to our lattice model and consider the action for a monopole-antimonopole pair united by a string of length L. This contains a positive piece given by

$$S_{M\bar{M}} = \frac{4\pi^2 m^2}{2e^2 a^2} G(0)\ L\ , \tag{21}$$

with G defined in eq. (13). This shows that a single-monopole solution ($L \to \infty$) has infinite action; therefore isolated monopoles are completely suppressed in the partition function. Monopoles can only appear as monopole-antimonopole pairs *linearly confined* by a string; since $2e^2$ plays the role of temperature and $G(0) = a^2 g(ma)$ we can identify $m^2 4\pi^2 g(ma)$ as the corresponding *string tension*.

The string singularities (18) , due to the compactness of the model, correspond exactly to the *induced current* first postulated in [13]. The necessity of such an induced current in the presence of a monopole was also recognized by Pisarski [6], who first advanced an explanation of its physical meaning. As is by now well know, in topologically massive quantum electrodynamics, external currents generate magnetic flux due to the presence of f_μ in the equations of motion. What happens in presence of a monopole is the converse: magnetic flux generates an induced current which flows through the Dirac string. Due to its electric charge, this becomes observable and acquires a finite energy per unit length. Due to this energy, configurations with infinitely long strings are suppressed. This mechanism is clearly exposed in eqs. (14) and (20) . The interaction energy for the strings contains three terms: the first is the electric-electric interaction between the charged strings, the second is the magnetic-magnetic interaction between the monopoles at the end of the strings, the third is the electric-magnetic interaction between the electric charge of one string and the

magnetic field induced by the second via the Biot-Savart law. All this is reminescent of self-dual Z_N models in even dimensions [14], the difference being that here it is the same objects that carry both the electric and the magnetic charge. Note also, that the parity-violating, imaginary electric-magnetic interaction contains a topological term measuring the *(self-) linking number* of closed strings [15].

A word of care is due at this point. Indeed, the fact that $\partial_\mu j_\mu \neq 0$ does not imply any violation of charge conservation. In fact $f_\mu^{\rm reg}$ appearing on the left-hand side of (18) can not be interpreted as the dual field strength of a gauge theory exactly because it is stripped off its singularities. Correspondingly, j_μ is not a current minimally coupled to a gauge theory and need therefore not be conserved. As is shown in [13] [6], the total current minimally coupled to A_μ in the gauge formulation is indeed conserved.

Let us now write the field equations of topologically massive electrodynamics in the form

$$\frac{1}{e^2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha}\partial_\nu f_\alpha - \frac{im}{e^2} f_\mu = J_\mu^P \ . \tag{22}$$

The current appearing in this formulation is the particle-number current density. Comparing this with (18) we recognize first that J_μ^P is imaginary in Euclidean space. In Minkowski space it would be real and $\partial_\mu J_\mu^P \neq 0$ would correspond to the creation of particles at the event of the monopole [13]. Comparing with the divergence of (18) we recognize that the number of these particles is quantized only if the Chern-Simons coupling constant is quantized as $2\pi\kappa \equiv 2\pi m/e^2 =$ integer, in full agreement with [13] [6].

We conclude by remarking that the topological excitations we have found in compact Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory are the same as the corresponding ones in the compact Abelian Higgs model in (2+1) dimensions [16]. There, the same mechanism takes place: coupling the gauge fields to a scalar effectively attaches flux tubes to the monopoles, which become linearly confined. Indeed, the real part of the interaction between strings is identical in the two models; the only difference lies in the parity-violating imaginary part of the interaction, present in the Maxwell-Chern-Simons model. We postpone the analysis of the phase structure of our model to a forthcoming publication.

Acknowledgements

We greatly profited from discussions with L. Alvarez-Gaumé. M. C. D. thanks the theory division of CERN, where this work was initiated, for hospitality. This work was partially supported by M.U.R.S.T..

References

[1] V. L. Berezinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 32 (1971) 493, 34 (1972) 610; J. Kosterlitz and D. Thouless, J. Phys. C6 (1973) 1181; J. Villain, J. Physique 36 (1975) 581; R. Savit, Phys. Rev. B17 (1978) 1340.

[2] A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. 59B (1975) 82, Nucl. Phys. B120 (1977) 429, "Gauge Fields and Strings", Harwood Academic Publishers, London (1987).

[3] For a review see: P. Goddard and D. Olive, "Magnetic Monopoles in Gauge Field Theories", Rep. Prog. Phys. 41 (1978) 91.

[4] R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 2291; J. Schonfeld, Nucl. Phys. B185 (1981) 157; S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 975, Ann. Phys. 140 (1982) 372.

[5] For a review see: E. Fradkin, "Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems", Addison-Wesley, Redwood City (1991).

[6] R. D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. D34 (1986) 3851.

[7] I. Affleck, J. Harvey, L. Palla and G. Semenoff, Nucl. Phys. B328 (1989) 575.

[8] J. Fröhlich and P. Marchetti, Comm. Math. Phys. 121 (1989) 177; E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 322, Phys. Rev. B42 (1990) 570; P. Lüscher, Nucl. Phys. B326 (1989) 557; V. F. Müller, Z. Phys. C47 (1990) 301; D. Eliezer and G. Semenoff, Phys. Lett. B286 (1992) 118, Ann. Phys. 217 (1992) 66.

[9] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Phys. Lett. 139B (1984) 371.

[10] P. K. Townsend, K. Pilch and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Lett. 136B (1984) 38.

[11] For a review see: J. B. Kogut, Rev. Mod. Phys. 55 (1983) 775.

[12] For a review see: R. Savit, Rev. Mod. Phys. 52 (1980) 453; H. Kleinert, "Gauge Fields in Condensed Matter Physics", World Scientific, Singapore (1989).

[13] M. Henneux and C. Teitelboim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 689.

[14] J. L. Cardy and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. B205 (1982) 1.

[15] A. M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 325.

[16] M. B. Einhorn and R. Savit, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978) 2583, Phys. Rev. D19 (1979) 1198.